

George Mason University
College of Education and Human Development
Mathematics Education Leadership

EDCI 856 (001) - Mathematics Education Curriculum Design and Evaluation

3 Credits, Fall 2016

Wednesdays 4:40-7:10, Robinson Hall B218 (Fairfax Campus)

Faculty

Name: Toya Jones Frank, Ph.D.

Office hours: By Appointment

Office location: 2403 Thompson Hall

Office phone: 703-993-5015

Email address: tfrank4@gmu.edu

Prerequisites/Co-requisites

Admission to MEL Ph.D. program or advisor's approval

University Catalog Course Description

Students engage in research, analysis, design, and evaluate school mathematics curricula

Course Overview and Connection to Professional Standards

EDCI 856 is designed to enable mathematics education leaders to evaluate and develop mathematics curriculum materials appropriate for school mathematics. The course was developed according to the joint position statement of the Association of Mathematics Teacher Educators (AMTE) and the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM) on Principles to Guide the Design and Implementation of Doctoral Programs in Mathematics Education. This position statement indicates that the core knowledge expectations for doctoral study in mathematics education include:

- Design effective curricula and learning environments to facilitate the development of deep and connected mathematical understanding,
- Curriculum design, analysis and evaluation, EDCI 856: Curriculum 2
- Studies of different strands of curricula,
- Comparisons of international curricula,
- Knowledge of historical, social, political, and economic factors impacting mathematics education, and
- Studies of mathematical concepts across grade levels.

This course is divided into four major phases that are guided by overarching questions.

Phase 1 – *How have school mathematics curricula in the US developed and changed over time? What images of the discipline of mathematics offer guidance to school mathematics?*

We will examine the evolution of school mathematics curricula in the US through secondary readings, as well as primary documents, chiefly reports of various committees calling for reforms to mathematics education. As a result of differences between schooling in the past and

in the present, our historical work will help us see the process of setting curriculum for school as a societal process.

Phase 2 - *How do researchers conceptualize the role of curriculum in education? What is the state of the art in research on school mathematics curricula?* We will look at studies of learning trajectories, curriculum effectiveness, international comparisons of school curricula, and studies of students' experience of curricula.

Phase 3 - *How do researchers analyze written curricular materials to understand what opportunities for teaching and learning these materials support? How do researchers study teachers' enactment of mathematics curricula?* During these sessions, we will concentrate on the question of how researchers compare and contrast written curricular materials to understand what opportunities for teaching and learning these materials support. We will read of articles that offer frameworks for comparing curricula and understand how the offered frameworks fit with the questions the researchers seek to answer. We will also examine factors influencing teachers' use of written mathematics curricular materials.

Phase 4 - *In the US, what are current and proposed curriculum evaluation procedures? How do these relate to our political system of organization? What is on the horizon for US mathematics curriculum?* The federal government has legislated the use of scientific evidence in making educational decisions. In mathematics education, this call intertwines itself with the Math Wars and leads to questions about whether implementation of National Science Foundation-funded and *Standards*-based reform mathematics curricula lead to improvements in student achievement. A special committee of the National Research Council has produced a report assessing the available evidence on that question and outlining guidelines for high quality curriculum evaluations in the future. The What Works Clearinghouse now issues 'reports' that identify curriculum

Course Delivery Method

This course will be delivered using a combination of lecture, discussion, independent study/research, student presentation, and writing. Reading is a critical component of the course, and as an advanced graduate level course, the reading load is substantial. It is expected that students will come to class having read the assigned material thoroughly and thoughtfully and that they be willing to share their understandings of the readings in order to contribute to the learning of all class members. For each class session there will be a reading assignment, as indicated in the schedule. Students are expected to bring to class questions or thoughts that the readings have provoked, which will be used to start the conversation about the topic of the day.

A grade of A will be given to students who besides complying with the assignments and deadlines provide thoughtful, creative, and original contributions to the class, provide evidence of deep understanding of the material, and indications that are able to advance their own lines of inquiry. Lower grades will be given when students comply unevenly with assignments, or show partial interest on understanding the readings or assignments, do not suggest nor propose original interpretations or innovative lines of inquiry. A failing grade will be given when students do not comply with the assignments, deadlines, or fail to participate actively in understanding the material or prevent other members of the class to accomplish the course goals.

Learner Outcomes or Objectives

At the conclusion of this course, students should be able to:

1. Identify and describe the interconnectedness of policy, society, economics and critical points in education, US history and international history that shape mathematics curricula.
2. Identify standards-based school mathematics curriculum projects; Analyze key characteristics of outstanding curriculum materials for school mathematics.
3. Examine learning theories that have been influential in mathematics education and identify ways those theories have been translated into curriculum materials and strategies for teaching.
4. Evaluate research on NSF-funded and commercially developed school mathematics curriculum materials to make informed choices.
5. Present and discuss a set of school mathematics curriculum materials in depth.
6. Design a school mathematics curriculum project.

Required Texts

Remillard, J.A., Herbel-Eisenmann, B.A., and Lloyd, G.M. (2009,2012). *Mathematics teachers at Work: Connecting curriculum materials and classroom instruction*. New York, NY: Routledge.

Center for the Study of Mathematics Curriculum:

http://www.mathcurriculumcenter.org/CCM/ccm_resources.php

Selected articles will be posted on Blackboard (see list at the end of the syllabus).

Course Performance Evaluation

Students are expected to submit all assignments on time via BlackBoard.

Assignments and Examinations

Weekly Discussion Board Participation (15%)

Participants are expected to read the materials for discussion in advance of the session and to post comments and thoughts (roughly 250 words in length each week) on our BlackBoard website's discussion board by the Monday evening before the next class session. The purpose of these weekly responses is to give you an opportunity to reflect upon course readings before discussing them in class and for the instructor to get a sense of how the students are processing the readings prior to the class meeting. The content of your responses could include any reactions you had to the readings as connected to your own experiences, but they should also address the text more directly, such as critiques of the author's (or authors') ideas, issues you thought the author could (or should) have addressed, ways the author(s) could have written the text more effectively, and so forth. I will sometimes post a particular prompt for you to consider. You may find it useful to reflect upon portions of the reading that inspired you, led you to think differently, or parts of the reading that you found particularly interesting or compelling. *When you respond to a specific portion of the text, refer to this segment by page number. It is also helpful to share a portion of the quote to assist in finding the segment you are discussing.* *****End every response with 2 – 3 questions you'd like to pose to the class community for discussion.***** (e.g., What were you left wondering more about in the text? What parts of the text would you like your colleagues to reflect upon with you?)

Curriculum Design and Analysis Project (50%)

- **Part 1: Understanding Learning Trajectories, Progression Lit Review (15%) (Due October 30, 2016):** Select a curriculum design approach (i.e. problem-solving approach; Project-based Learning; model eliciting activities) in mathematics education (grades K-12) and/or a standards-based curriculum. Situate the approach within a mathematical topic of interest. Review how the learning progressions and student learning trajectories are addressed in the curriculum and standards (max 15 pages, excluding references).
- **Part 2: Curriculum Design and Pilot Research (15%) (Due 11/20/16):**
 - Design a mini unit (3-5 lessons) based on the chosen curriculum design approach and conduct a pilot research using a teaching experiment or a diagnostic interview approach
 - If you chose to research a standard-based curriculum, conduct a classroom observation/ or Interview/Survey a teacher using the standard-based curriculum.
- **Part 3: Empirical Study (20%) (Due 12/14/16):** One of the main foci of the course is to help you practice analyzing data related to mathematics curricular materials. You will select 3 texts related to your mathematics topic of interest either replicate a previous study by using a coding scheme developed by another researcher who studied the content of mathematics textbooks or develop your own framework of codes to address a researchable question.

In order to keep participants' projects to a manageable size and to keep the work progressing during the first part of the semester, throughout the first half of the semester, participants will be asked to post their work on the project to BlackBoard. The instructor will provide constructive formative feedback.

Carrying out an empirical study during a semester is an ambitious goal. Throughout the semester, approximately every two weeks, there will be a deadline related to this overarching project. Participants are expected to meet these deadlines with appropriate work.

Detailed expectations for these assignments will be presented in separate documents. Individuals will be expected to keep the instructor abreast of their progress on this project and on any difficulties they encounter. Participants will present their progress on to their project to classmates during class.

Dissertation Synthesis (10%) (November 9 and 16)

Select a dissertation that, in some way, addresses an overarching question for this course. Prepare a presentation for the class about the dissertation including the following sections: background information, research questions, methodology, results, and implications for further research.

Discussion Leadership Assignment (15%)

In order to engage you in synthesizing ideas across readings, pairs of participant pairs will be responsible for coordinating one class discussion (and/or some activity designed to support ideas presented in the readings). You will be required to complete a self-assessment of your role.

CV and Cover Letter (10%)

Update your vita and write a cover letter to accompany your CV. The goal of this assignment is to have you critically exam your goals and objectives in the Ph.D. program and articulate those goals to a prospective employer. It is also designed to help you reflect on areas where you might want to do more work or gain more experience.

Course Performance Evaluation Weighting

<u>Assignment</u>	<u>Percentage of Final Grade</u>
Weekly Discussion Board Participation	15%
Curriculum Design and Analysis Project	50%
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Literature Review • Curriculum Design • Empirical Analysis 	
Dissertation Synthesis	10%
Discussion Leadership Assignment	15%
CV and Cover Letter	10%

Grading Policies

Graduate Grading Scale

A 93%-100%	B+ 87%-89%	C 70%-79%
A- 90%-92%	B 80%-86%	F Below 70%

Professional Dispositions

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

Core Values Commitment

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: .

Other Requirements

Attendance and Participation

This course is a doctoral seminar. Participants are expected to come to class and to participate actively. In case of an emergency, please contact me, preferably by email, or another participant.

GMU Policies and Resources for Students

Policies

- Students must adhere to the guidelines of the Mason Honor Code (see <http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/>).
- Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (see <http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/>).

- Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their Mason email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students **solely** through their Mason email account.
- Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with George Mason University Disability Services. Approved accommodations will begin at the time the written letter from Disability Services is received by the instructor (see <http://ods.gmu.edu/>).
- Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be silenced during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

Campus Resources

- Support for submission of assignments to Tk20 should be directed to <mailto:tk20help@gmu.edu> or <https://cehd.gmu.edu/api/tk20>. Questions or concerns regarding use of Blackboard should be directed to <http://coursesupport.gmu.edu/>.
- The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (see <http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/>).
- The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance (see <http://caps.gmu.edu/>).
- The George Mason University Office of Student Support staff helps students negotiate life situations by connecting them with appropriate campus and off-campus resources. Students in need of these services may contact the office by phone (703-993-5376). Concerned students, faculty and staff may also make a referral to express concern for the safety or well-being of a Mason student or the community by going to <http://studentsupport.gmu.edu/>, and the OSS staff will follow up with the student.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, please visit our website <https://cehd.gmu.edu/>.

Class Schedule

Note: I reserve the right to alter the schedule as necessary, with notification to students. This is a *tentative* list of topics and readings. Based on our discussions, I may change readings to better suit the direction of the course.

<i>Phase 1 - How has school mathematics curricula in the US developed and changed over time? What images of the discipline of mathematics offer guidance to school mathematics?</i>	
<i>Session 1 August 31</i>	<p>Mathematics Curricula as Historically, Socially, and Culturally Situated</p> <p>Popkewitz, T. S. (1987). Introduction. In T. Popkewitz (ed.), <i>The Formation of school subjects: the struggle for creating an American institution</i>. New York: Falmer Press. (Reprinted January 2015 in History of Education Quarterly)</p> <p>Ellis & Berry (2005) The paradigm shift in mathematics education: Explanations and implications of reforming conceptions of teaching and learning. <i>The Mathematics Educator</i>, 15(1), 7–17.</p> <p><i>Principles To Action</i> Executive Summary and section on Curriculum</p>
<i>Session 2 September 7</i>	<p>Mathematics Curricula as Historically, Socially, and Culturally Situated</p> <p>All:</p> <p>Popkewitz, T. (2004). The Alchemy of the Mathematics Curriculum: Inscriptions and the Fabrication of the Child. <i>American Educational Research Journal</i>, 41(1), 3-34.</p> <p>Schoenfeld, A. H. (2004). The Math Wars. <i>Educational Policy</i>, 18(1), 253-286.</p> <p><i>The following readings will be assigned to different participants:</i></p> <p>Stanic, G. (1987). Mathematics education in the United States at the beginning of the twentieth century. In T. Popkewitz (ed.), <i>The Formation of school subjects: the struggle for creating an American institution</i>. New York: Falmer Press.</p> <p>Stanic, G. M. A., & Kilpatrick, J.(Eds.) (2003). <i>A history of school mathematics (Volume 1)</i>. Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. pp.493-537.</p> <p>Raizen, S. A., & Britton, E. D. (1997). <i>Bold Ventures</i>. Kluwer Academic Publishers. Read: Origins and Development of Standards (pp. 1-62), Teaching Standards (pp. 72-80).</p> <p><i>A Nation at Risk</i>. (1983) Download from CSMC.</p> <p><i>Report of the Committee of Ten. See Blackboard</i> (1894). Download from CSMC.</p> <p><i>The Reorganization of Mathematics in Secondary Education</i> (1923). Download from CSMC.</p>

	<p><i>Conference on Basic Skills and Learning</i>. (1975). Download from CSMC.</p> <p><i>Overview and Analysis of School Mathematics Grades K-12</i>. (1975). Download from CSMC.</p>
<p>Phase 2- How do researchers conceptualize the role of curriculum in education? What is the state of the art in research on school mathematics curricula?</p>	
<p>Session 3 September 14</p>	<p>Frameworks for Studying and Designing Mathematics Curriculum</p> <p>Stein, M. K., Remillard, J., Smith, M. S. (2007). How curriculum influences student learning. In <i>Second Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning</i>, Frank Lester, (Ed.) pp. 319-370. Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing.</p> <p>Cuoco, A., Paul Goldenberg, E., & Mark, J. (1996). Habits of mind: An organizing principle for mathematics curricula. <i>Journal of Mathematical Behavior</i>, 15(4), 375-402.</p>
<p>Session 4 September 21</p>	<p>Curriculum and Task Analysis</p> <p>Stein, M. K., Grover, B. W., & Henningsen, M. (1996). Building student capacity for mathematical thinking and reasoning: An analysis of mathematical tasks used in reform classrooms. <i>American Educational Research Journal</i>, 33(2), 455–488.</p> <p>Stein, M. K., & Kaufman, J. H. (2010). Selecting and supporting the use of mathematics curricula at scale. <i>American Educational Research Journal</i>, 47(3), 663-693</p>
<p>Session 5 September 28</p>	<p>Ideal Curriculum: National Policy</p> <p>Common Core State Standards for Mathematics Download: http://www.corestandards.org/Math/</p> <p>Cobb, P., & Jackson, K. (2011). Assessing the Quality of the Common Core State Standards for Mathematics. <i>Educational Researcher</i>, 40(4), 183 -185.</p> <p>Porter, A., McMaken, J., Hwang, J., & Yang, R. (2011b). Assessing the Common Core Standards. <i>Educational Researcher</i>, 40(4), 186 -188.</p>
<p>Session 6 October 5</p>	<p>Learning Trajectories</p> <p>See Learning Trajectories folder on Bb to access sites and readings</p>
<p>Phase 3 - How do researchers analyze written curricular materials to understand what opportunities for teaching and learning these materials support? How do researchers study teachers' enactment of mathematics curricula?</p>	
<p>Session 7 October 12</p>	<p>Textbook Analysis</p> <p>All:</p> <p>Fan, L., Zhu, Y., & Miao, Z. (2013). Textbook research in mathematics education: development status and directions. <i>ZDM</i>, 45(5), 633–646.</p> <p>Select 2 of the following:</p>

	<p>Mesa, V (2004). Characterizing practices associated with functions in middle school textbooks: An empirical approach. <i>Educational Studies in Mathematics</i>, 56, 255-286.</p> <p>Sherman, M. Walkington, C. & Howell, E. (2016). A Comparison of Symbol-Precedence View in Investigative and Conventional Textbooks Used in Algebra Courses <i>Journal for Research in Mathematics Education</i> 47(2), 134–146.</p> <p>Sood, S., & Jitendra, A. K. (2007). A comparative analysis of number sense instruction in reform-based and traditional mathematics textbooks. <i>The Journal of Special Education</i>, 41(3), 145 -157.</p> <p>Stigler, J.W., Fuson, K.C., Ham, M., & Kim, M.S. (1986). An analysis of addition and subtraction word problems in American and Soviet elementary mathematics textbooks. <i>Cognition and Instruction</i>, 3, 153-171.</p>
October 19	<p>Textbook Analysis</p> <p>Love, E., & Pimm, D. (1996). This is so': a text on texts. <i>International handbook of mathematics education</i>, 1, 371-409.</p> <p>Herbel-Eisenmann, B. A. (2007). From Intended Curriculum to Written Curriculum: Examining the " Voice" of a Mathematics Textbook. <i>Journal for Research in Mathematics Education</i>, 38(4), 344-369.</p> <p>Brantlinger, A. (2011). Rethinking critical mathematics: a comparative analysis of critical, reform, and traditional geometry instructional texts. <i>Educational Studies in Mathematics</i>, 78(3), 395–411.</p>
October 26	<p>**Part 1: Literature Review due by 10/30</p> <p>Approaches to Studying Mathematics Curriculum</p> <p>Clements, D. H. (2007). Curriculum research: Toward a framework for “Research-based Curricula.” <i>Journal for Research in Mathematics Education</i>, 38(1), 35-70.</p> <p>Smith III, J. P., & Star, J. R. (2007). Expanding the Notion of Impact of K-12 Standards-based Mathematics and Reform Calculus Programs. <i>Journal for Research in Mathematics Education</i>, 38(1), 32.</p> <p><i>Mathematics Teachers at Work (MTW)</i>, Part I: Introduction</p>
November 2	<p>Class will meet online (asynchronously)</p> <p>Studying Teachers’ Use of Curriculum Materials</p> <p><i>MTW</i>, Part II: Chapters 2&3, Commentaries 6 and 7</p>
November 9	<p>**Dissertation Synthesis Presentations</p> <p>Teachers, Mathematics, and the Enacted Curriculum</p> <p><i>MTW</i>, Part III: Chapters 8, 11,12, Commentaries 13 & 14</p>

November 16	<p><i>**Dissertation Synthesis Presentations</i></p> <p><i>** Part II: Curriculum Design and Pilot Research due by 11/20</i></p> <p>MTW, Part IV: Chapters 15, 17, Commentary 19</p> <p>MTW, Part V: Chapters 21, 22, Commentaries 23, 24</p>
November 23	Thanksgiving Break (Class will not meet.)
<p><i>Phase 4 - In the US, what are current and proposed curriculum evaluation procedures? How do these relate to our political system of organization? What is on the horizon for US mathematics curriculum and the study and evaluation of it?</i></p>	
November 30	<p>Kilpatrick, J. (2001). Where's the evidence? <i>Journal for Research in Mathematics Education</i>, 32(4), 421–427.</p> <p>Tran, D. Reys, B., Teuscher, D., Dingman, S., Kasmer, L. (2016). Analysis of curriculum standards: An important research area. <i>Journal for Research in Mathematics Education</i>, 47(2), 118-133.</p> <p><i>What Works Clearinghouse (Mathematics):</i> http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/wwc/MathHome.aspx</p>
December 7	<p><i>**Part 3: Empirical Analysis Due by 12/14.</i></p> <p>Research Symposium</p>