

**GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT
GRADUATE SCHOOL OF EDUCATION
Literacy Program**

EDRD 632 6L8: Literacy Assessments and Interventions for Groups
3 Credits, Fall 2015
Monday, 5:00-7:40 pm Woodburn Elementary (Media Center)

PROFESSOR(S):

Name: Dr. Jennifer I. Hathaway
Office hours: By Appointment
Office location: 1604 Thompson Hall, Fairfax Campus
Office phone: (703) 993-5789
Email address: jhathaw2@gmu.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION:

A. Prerequisites/Corequisites

EDRD 630: Literacy Foundations and Instruction for Diverse Populations: Birth through Middle Childhood

EDRD 631: Literacy Foundations and Instruction for Diverse Populations: Adolescence through Adulthood

Admission to the Literacy emphasis or permission of the literacy program coordinator.

B. University Catalog Course Description

Provides literacy assessments and interventions for groups of learners. Includes exploration of assessment tools for classrooms and large populations. Class members conduct related practice in their own classrooms or specified field settings.

C. Expanded Course Description

Not Applicable

LEARNER OUTCOMES or OBJECTIVES:

This course is designed to enable students to:

- Understand types of assessments and their purposes, strengths, and limitations.
- Select, develop, administer, and interpret assessments both traditional print and electronic, for specific purposes.
- Use assessment information to plan and evaluate instruction.
- Communicate assessment results and implications to a variety of audiences.

- Use data to tailor instruction for acceleration, intervention, remediation and flexible level groupings.
- Select strategies to increase vocabulary, reading comprehension, specifically in the ability to teach strategies to teach literal, interpretative, critical and evaluative comprehension.

PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS (International Reading Association (IRA) Standards for Reading Professionals (2010) addressed in this course):

- 2.1 Candidates use foundational knowledge to design or implement an integrated, comprehensive, and balanced curriculum.
- 2.2 Candidates use appropriate and varied instructional approaches, including those that develop word recognition, language comprehension, strategic knowledge, and reading – writing connections.
- 3.1 Candidates understand types of assessments and their purposes, strengths, and limitations.
- 3.2 Candidates select, develop, administer, and interpret assessments both traditional print and electronic, for specific purposes.
- 3.3 Candidates use assessment information to plan and evaluate instruction.
- 3.4 Candidates communicate assessment results and implications to a variety of audiences.
- 5.4 Candidates use a variety of classroom configurations (i.e. whole class, small group, and individual) to differentiate instruction.

Virginia State Standards addressed in this course:

- 1a. Demonstrate expertise in the use of formal and informal screening, diagnostic and progress monitoring assessment for language proficiency, concepts of print, phoneme awareness, letter recognition, decoding, fluency, vocabulary, reading levels, comprehension.
- 1b. Demonstrate expertise in the ability to use diagnostic data to tailor instruction for acceleration, intervention, remediation, and flexible skill-level groupings.
- 3c. Demonstrate expertise in strategies to increase vocabulary.
- 3f. Demonstrate expertise in the ability to teach strategies in literal, interpretive, critical, and evaluative comprehension.
- 4a. Demonstrate expertise in the knowledge, skills, and processes necessary for teaching writing, including the domains of composing, written expression, and usage and mechanics and the writing process of planning, drafting, revising, editing, and sharing.
- 6b. Demonstrate an understanding of the needs of high achieving students and of strategies to challenge them at appropriate levels.
- 6f. Demonstrate expertise to interpret grade equivalents, percentile ranks, normal curve equivalents, and standards scores.
- 6g. Demonstrate the ability to instruct and advise teachers in the skills necessary to differentiate reading instruction for both low and high achieving readers.
- 6h. Demonstrate the ability to organize and supervise the reading program within the classroom, school, or division.

NATURE OF COURSE DELIVERY:

This course primarily uses a face-to-face seminar format (though occasional online activities may be required) based on discussion of class topics and readings as well as related experiences and assignments from your classroom-based practice. You are expected to complete all class readings prior to each session and to be prepared to engage in active dialogue and sharing of ideas. Online and in-person activities will include small group discussions, video and live presentations, whole class sharing and discussion, and reflection. You will also engage in activities designed to encourage application of materials from the readings and discussions to the role of a reading specialist in Virginia.

REQUIRED TEXTS:

Lesaux, N. K. & Marietta, S. H. (2012). *Making assessment matter: Using test results to differentiate reading instruction*. New York, NY: Guilford.

REQUIRED RESOURCE:

Edthena must be purchased and used in this course. Details will be provided.

Additional readings will be made available on Blackboard and through GMU Library databases.

RECOMMENDED RESOURCES:

Gambrell, L. B., & Morrow, L. M. (Eds.) (2015). *Best practices in literacy instruction* (5th ed.). New York, NY: Guilford.

Gipe, J. (2013). *Multiple paths to literacy: Assessment and differentiated instruction for diverse learners, K-12* (8th ed.). New York, NY: Pearson.

Pinnell, G. S., & Fountas, I. C. (2009). *When readers struggle: Teaching that works*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Sadder, M., & Nidus, G. (2009). *The literacy coach's game plan: Making teacher collaboration, student learning, and school improvement a reality*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Seravallo, J. (2014). *The literacy teacher's playbook, grades K-2: Four steps for turning assessment data into goal-directed instruction*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.

Seravallo, J. (2013) *The literacy teacher's playbook, Grades 3-6: Four steps for turning assessment data into goal-directed Instruction*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann

Toll, C. (2014). *The literacy coach's survival guide: Essential questions and practical answers* (2nd ed.). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Walpole, S., & McKenna, M. C. (2012). *The literacy coach's handbook: A guide to research-based practice* (2nd ed.). New York, NY: Guilford.

COURSE ASSIGNMENTS AND EXAMINATIONS:

A. Assignment Descriptions

1. Performance-Based Assessment A: State & Schoolwide Focus (30%)

1. **Data Gathering:** You will download reading and writing assessment data *for your school* from your state website (Virginia, D.C., or Maryland) (School Report Card). In class, as an individual, with a partner, and with your teacher colleagues, discuss the measurements used, AYP and AMO criteria, and groups “at risk.” Also discuss your state’s testing framework.

2. **Summary Presentation:** Prepare a handout and a brief PowerPoint (or other technology) for colleagues at your school that summarizes the information learned in #1. Then meet with a small group of school colleagues (such as your school team), share this handout/PowerPoint, and lead a discussion about the meaning/potential importance of the information as well as “next steps” (how to apply this information to instruction). The goal is to lead your colleagues in analyzing portions of the test data to discover implications for their own teaching. (15%)

3. **Written Analysis:** Write a 4-5 page reflection/analysis that (1) describes the quantitative and qualitative data you obtained from the School Report Card, (2) explains what you learned about the role of the reading specialist/literacy coach from sharing this information with your school and class colleagues, and (3) discusses how your state’s testing program is consistent or inconsistent with the *IRA Position Statement on High Stakes Assessment in Reading*. Include a minimum of two additional peer-reviewed sources related to appropriate/inappropriate uses of assessments to support your discussion. In your view, what should be modified and/or what should remain the same in your state’s assessment system? (Include your handout/PowerPoint as an appendix to the paper.) (15%)

2. Performance-Based Assessment B: Classroom Focus (60%)

In this assignment, you will have the opportunity both to serve as a classmate’s literacy coach and to be coached yourself. Complete the following steps together, with partners taking turns assuming the roles of the literacy coach and teacher.

1. **Spreadsheet:** (1) Create a spreadsheet showing the most recent *reading and writing* scores for all students in your class on **at least three assessments**. Include formal (e.g., Virginia SOL, DRA, PALS) and informal (e.g., Running Record), if available. If you are not currently teaching, you will complete this in a field assignment. (2) Compare your formal student assessment results with other existing data sources, such as homework and class assignment performance, to analyze individual student performance. Add relevant notes to your spreadsheet. (3) Then make notes on the spreadsheet related to each student’s *instructional needs*

(vocabulary, comprehension, fluency, etc.) in reading and writing as revealed through your data collection and analysis. (4) Share and discuss with your peer coach. (10%)

2. *Assessment Analysis:* In this assignment, you will review a published assessment. We will brainstorm as a class the various assessments available. The goal is to analyze as many assessments as possible within the class. Then, (1) with a partner, choose an assessment to evaluate: locate and read publishers' information on technical aspects of the assessment, including reliability/validity. (2) Prepare a handout on this information (one page per assessment) and present to your classmates. Include (a) a description of the purpose of the assessment (e.g., screening, progress monitoring, measuring outcomes); (b) target audience; (c) administration procedures; (d) content; (e) scoring; (f) technical adequacy; (g) usability; (h) links to intervention. (10%)

3. *Instructional Strategies Research:* Locate 3 *peer-reviewed research articles* related to the instructional needs of your students. Utilize and adapt the strategies or techniques in your lesson plans. Cite appropriately. (5%)

4. *Lesson Planning:* Then, acting as a peer literacy coach, lead your partner to use the data to make instructional decisions, including flexible grouping arrangements for a specific unit or series of lessons he or she plans to teach in the future. Coach your partner in ***creating two specific lesson plans*** for his/her class in which students will be reading and/or writing. Specify how students will be grouped for instruction, using (across the two plans) at least two formats (e.g., small group, large group, individual). Also be sure to specify how you will assess student learning during and after each lesson. You may use the lesson plan format that is standard in your school, but ensure that you include data-based justification for instructional grouping and strategy choices. (10%)

5. *Implementation of Lessons:* Implement and videotape your first lesson, then reflect and annotate the video with Edthena to note salient points in the lesson, your teaching strengths and needs, and student outcomes that result from the lesson. Choose a clip of at least 15 minutes to share and discuss in class with your peer coach and your instructor. Between the lessons, make adjustments as needed to improve outcomes for all students, with special attention to struggling readers. Implement and videotape the second lesson, again reflecting and annotating in Edthena. In class, on the designated days, you will watch segments of your video and talk with your coaching partner, discussing each other's results and offering insight into the instruction (this will also be videotaped for your own reflection purposes). (20%)

6. *Analysis:* Finally, write a 3-4-page reflective analysis on what you learned about data-based grouping and instruction and what helped your partner learn. Be specific about the suggestions you made to each other and whether these were implemented. Also, clearly indicate how you and your partner helped each other create grouping plans linked to the assessment information. (5%)

Submit the final spreadsheet (#1, above), your group assessment analysis (#2), both lesson plans with peer coach feedback (#4), your video (#5) and your final reflection (#6) to your instructor via Blackboard.

3. Participation (10%)

Our course Blackboard site (mymasonportal.gmu.edu) will include information and resources important to your successful completion of the course. You should access Blackboard prior to every class session and/or module to download readings and other pertinent course documents. We may also hold discussions via Blackboard.

The completion of all readings assigned for the course is assumed. Because the class will be structured around discussion and small group activities, *it is critical for you to complete all readings prior to the class for which they are assigned and to fully participate in class.* Failure to do so may result in a lower participation grade. It is your responsibility to come to class with insights, questions, comments, and concerns from the readings.

Each week, we will be using a Seed Discussion strategy (see <http://www.readwritethink.org/professional-development/strategy-guides/introducing-content-with-seed-30631.html> or <http://www.adlit.org/strategies/22737/> for background information) to guide our class discussion of the readings. As you read, consider the following questions in relationship to class topics: (a) What new information does the reading selection provide? (b) What did you find interesting or surprising about the selection? (c) What did you not understand in the selection? (d) How does what you read remind you of things you have learned in other courses? After reading, review your notes to find “seeds” for discussion—key concepts, theories, or questions that require further elaboration. Identify at least ONE “seed” for each assigned reading. You will share your “seeds” as part of a group discussion. In these discussions, each “seed” should be thoroughly discussed before moving on to the next “seed.”

Class participation is a required component of your grade. In general, we will engage in three types of activities:

- lectures, activities, and discussions related to literacy leadership activities.
- discussion of the week’s readings.
- partner and small group meetings in which you will provide feedback and support for each other’s literacy leadership activities.

B. Assignment Weighting

Performance-Based Assessment A: State & Schoolwide Focus	30 %
Performance-Based Assessment B: Classroom Focus	60 %
<u>Participation</u>	<u>10 %</u>
Total:	100 %

C. Grading Policies

Literacy students must re-take any course in which they receive a grade of C or lower in order to be eligible for licensure (please note that the grade of B- is not given). Incompletes must be cleared before moving to any course for which the course is a prerequisite. (Note that EDRD 632 is a prerequisite for EDRD 634).

Grading Scale

A = 94%-100%
A- = 90%-93%
B+ = 87%-89%
B = 80%-86%
C = 75%-79%

D. Other Expectations

Class Attendance

Class attendance is both important and required (see Academic Policy 1.6 in the GMU Catalog). If an emergency situation arises and you must miss class, please contact me **PRIOR** to the class session via phone or email. Attendance will influence your grade. More than 2 absences may result in failure of the course. You are responsible for obtaining information given during class discussions despite attendance. It is also your responsibility to discuss the readings and class content with a classmate to ensure understanding.

Assignment Guidelines

All assignments should be turned in (via paper copy OR Blackboard) by class time on the date noted in the course schedule. You are expected to submit assignments on time regardless of your class attendance on the day an assignment is due. Unless arrangements have been made beforehand with me, scores for assignments submitted late will be lowered by one letter grade for each day the work is late.

Assignments should be word processed using 12-point Times New Roman font, and double-spaced with one-inch margins. Writing quality (including mechanics, organization, and content) is figured into the overall score for each assignment, so proofread carefully. Be sure to use APA format (6th ed.) when providing citations for relevant research. When submitting electronic files, please name the files using your last name and assignment titles (ex: SMITH_LessonPlan1.docx).

Communication

Email is my preferred form of communication, so please check your GMU email account frequently, as that will be the account I use to contact you. I am always available via email, but please allow 24 hours response time for replies during the week, and 48 hours during weekends and holidays.

If you would like to talk with me, I will be available 15 minutes before and after class, as well as during class breaks. I am also happy to meet with you at other times by appointment.

Please note, the course scheduled may need to be modified during the semester. If this occurs, notice of such changes will be by announcement in class, by written or email notice, and/or by changes to this syllabus posted on Blackboard.

BLACKBOARD REQUIREMENTS

Every student registered for any Literacy Program course with a required performance-based assessment is required to submit this assessment, Performance-Based Assessments A & B, to Blackboard (regardless of whether a course is an elective, a onetime course or part of an undergraduate minor). Evaluation of the performance-based assessment by the course instructor will also be completed in Blackboard. Failure to submit the assessment to Blackboard will result in the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete (IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the required Blackboard submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.

GMU POLICIES AND RESOURCES FOR STUDENTS

- a. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code (See <http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/>).
- b. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing (See <http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/>).
- c. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- d. The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experience and academic performance (See <http://caps.gmu.edu/>).
- e. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester (See <http://ods.gmu.edu/>).
- f. Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.

g. The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing (See <http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/>).

PROFESSIONAL DISPOSITIONS

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

CORE VALUES COMMITMENT

The College of Education & Human Development is committed to collaboration, ethical leadership, innovation, research-based practice, and social justice. Students are expected to adhere to these principles: <http://cehd.gmu.edu/values/>.

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website <http://gse.gmu.edu/>.

PROPOSED CLASS SCHEDULE:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Topics/Essential Questions</u>	<u>Reading</u>	<u>Assignments Due</u>
Class 1: Aug. 31	Course Overview What is assessment?	– International Reading Association. (2014). <i>Using high-stakes assessments for grade retention and graduation decisions</i> [Position statement]. Newark, DE: Author.	– none
Sept. 7	Labor Day – NO CLASS		
Class 2: Sept. 14	What is the role of assessment?	– Lesaux & Marietta – Ch. 1, 2, 3 – Chappuis, J. (2014). Thoughtful assessment with the learner in mind. <i>Educational Leadership</i> , 71(6), 20-26.	– principal permission for the PBA – School Assessment data (PBA A, #1) (Bb)
Class 3: Sept. 21	What are the various types of assessment?	– McKenna, M. C., & Walpole, S. (2005). How well does assessment inform our reading instruction? <i>The Reading Teacher</i> , 59(1), 84-86. doi:10.1598/RT.59.1.9 – Roskos, K., & Neuman, S. B. (2012). Formative assessment: Simply, No Additives. <i>The Reading Teacher</i> , 65(8), 534-538. doi:10.1002/TRTR.01079	– bring a hard copy of your School Assessment data (PBA A, #1)
Class 4: Sept. 28	What is effective practice in using assessment?	– Lesaux & Marietta – Ch. 4, 5 – Allington, R. L. (2011). What at-risk readers need. <i>Educational Leadership</i> , 68(6), 40-45. – Afflerbach, P., Cho, B., Crassas, M. E., & Kim, J. (2015). Best practices in reading assessment: Working toward a balanced approach. In L. B. Gambrell & L. M. Morrow (Eds.), <i>Best practices in literacy instruction</i> (5th ed.) (pp. 315-339). New York, NY: Guilford.	– Summary Presentation Draft for peer feedback (PBA A, #2) – Select a literacy assessment to evaluate with your partner for PBA B, #2. (Bb)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Topics/Essential Questions</u>	<u>Reading</u>	<u>Assignments Due</u>
Class 5: Oct. 5	Why evaluate literacy assessments for validity, reliability, and missing information?	– TBD	– Bring a copy of your selected literacy assessment to evaluate for PBA B, #2.
Class 6: Tuesday, Oct. 13	Professional Work Session		
Class 7: Oct. 19	How can assessments lead to improved instruction?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Lesaux & Marietta – Ch. 6 – McLaughlin, M. (2012). Reading comprehension: What every teacher needs to know. <i>The Reading Teacher</i>, 65(7), 432-440. doi:10.1002/TRTR.01064 – Dennis, D. V. (2009). “I’m not stupid”: How assessment drives (in)appropriate reading instruction. <i>Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy</i>, 53(4), 283-290. doi:10.1598/JAAL.53.4.2 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Summary Presentation & Written Analysis (PBA A, #2, #3) (Bb) – Assessment Analysis (PBA B, #2) (Bb) – Classroom Data Spreadsheet Draft 1 (PBA B, #1) (Bb)
Class 8: Oct. 26	How do we use assessment to improve instruction?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Watts-Taffe, S., Laster, B. P., Broach, L., Marinak, B., Connor, C. M., & Walker-Dalhouse, D. (2012). Differentiated instruction: Making informed teacher decisions. <i>The Reading Teacher</i>, 66(4), 303-314. doi:10.1002/TRTR.01126 – Walpole, S., & McKenna, M. C. (2005). The role of informal reading inventories in assessing word recognition. <i>The Reading Teacher</i>, 59(6), 592-594. doi:10.1598/RT.59.6.10 – Wise, J. C., Sevcik, R. A., Morris, R. D., Lovett, M. W., Wolf, M., Kuhn, M.,...Schwanenflugel, P. (2010). The relationship between different measures of oral reading 	– none

<u>Date</u>	<u>Topics/Essential Questions</u>	<u>Reading</u>	<u>Assignments Due</u>
		<p>fluency and reading comprehension in second-grade students who evidence different oral reading fluency difficulties. <i>Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 41</i>, 340-348</p>	
Class 9: Nov. 2	How do we develop plans from assessment data?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Geisler, J. L., Hessler, T., Gardner, R., & Lovelace, T. S. (2009). Differentiated writing interventions for high achieving urban African American elementary students. <i>Journal of Advanced Academics, 20</i>(2), 214-247. - finish reading articles for PBA B, #3 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Classroom Data Spreadsheet Draft 2 (PBA B, #1) (Bb & hard copy)
Class 10: Nov. 9	How does a coach support teachers?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Showers, B., & Joyce, B. (1996). The evolution of peer coaching. <i>Educational Leadership, 53</i>(6), 12-16. - Helf, S., & Cooke, N. L. (2011). Reading specialist: Key to a systematic schoolwide reading model, <i>Preventing School Failure, 55</i>(3), 140-147. doi:10.1080/1045988X.2010.499392 - Peterson, D. S., Taylor, B. M., Burnham, B., & Schock, R. (2009). Reflective coaching conversations: A missing piece. <i>The Reading Teacher, 62</i>(6), 500-509. doi:10.1598/RT62.6.4 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Peer Coaching: Lesson Plans (PBA B, #4) (Bb)
Class 11: Nov. 16	How does a coach support teachers? (continued)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lesaux & Marietta – Ch. 7, 8 - Hasbrouck, J., & Denton, C. A. (2007). Student-focused coaching: A model for reaching coaches. <i>The Reading Teacher, 60</i>(7), 690-693. doi:10.1598/RT.60.7.11 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lesson 1 Video Reflection & Annotation for Peer Coaching Meeting (PBA B, #5) (Edthena)

<u>Date</u>	<u>Topics/Essential Questions</u>	<u>Reading</u>	<u>Assignments Due</u>
Class 12: Nov. 23	Video Sharing & Coaching	– none	– Lesson 2 Video Reflection & Annotation for Peer Coaching Meeting (PBA B, #5) (Edthena)
Class 13: Nov. 30	How do we include parents in assessment?	– Hill, S., & Diamond, A. (2013). Family literacy in response to local contexts. <i>Australian Journal of Language and Literacy</i> , 36(1), 48-55. – TBD	– article summaries for PBA B, #3
Class 14: Dec. 7	Project Debrief Course Evaluation	– none	– All PBA assignments must be uploaded to Bb.

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC(S):

Performance-Based Assessment Part A Rubric

IRA Standard/ Element	Exemplary (3)	Proficient (2)	Developing (1)	Not Met (0)	Score
3.2b Collaborate with and provide support to teachers in the analysis of data, using the assessment results of all students.	The paper provides very strong evidence of collaboration with and support of teachers in the analysis of data, using the assessment results of all students.	The paper provides strong evidence of collaboration with and support of teachers in the analysis of data, using the assessment results of all students.	The paper provides some evidence of collaboration with and support of teachers in the analysis of data, using the assessment results of all students.	The paper provides little or no evidence of collaboration with and support of teachers in the analysis of data, using the assessment results of all students.	
3.1a Demonstrates an understanding of the literature and research related to assessments and their uses and misuses.	The paper provides an excellent synthesis of literature related to assessment and the appropriate uses and misuses of assessments.	The paper provides a good synthesis of the literature related to assessment and the appropriate uses and misuses of assessments.	The paper provides a partial synthesis of the literature related to assessment and the appropriate uses and misuses of assessments.	The paper provides a weak or non-existent synthesis of the literature related to assessment and the appropriate uses and misuses assessments.	
3.4a Analyze and report assessment results to a variety of appropriate audiences for relevant implications, instructional purposes, and accountability.	In the paper and handout or PowerPoint, there is strong evidence that candidate has analyzed and reported assessment results to a variety of colleagues and classmates for relevant implications, instructional purposes, and accountability.	In the paper and handout/PowerPoint, there is moderate evidence that candidate has analyzed and reported assessment results to a variety of colleagues and classmates for relevant implications, instructional purposes, and accountability.	In the paper and handout/PowerPoint, there is limited evidence that candidate has analyzed and reported assessment results to a variety of colleagues and classmates for relevant implications, instructional purposes, and accountability.	In the paper and handout/PowerPoint, there is little to no evidence that candidate has analyzed and reported assessment results to a variety of colleagues and classmates for relevant implications, instructional purposes, and accountability.	

3.1d Explain district and state assessment frameworks, proficiency standards, and student benchmarks.	In the paper and handout/Power Point, the candidate very effectively explains assessment frameworks, standards, and benchmarks.	In the paper and handout/Power Point, the candidate effectively explains assessment frameworks, standards, and benchmarks.	In the paper and handout/Power Point, the candidate partially explains assessment frameworks, standards, and benchmarks.	In the paper and handout/PowerPoint, the candidate ineffectively or does not explain assessment frameworks, standards, and benchmarks.	
---	---	--	--	--	--

Performance-Based Assessment Part B Rubric

IRA Standard/ Element	Exemplary (3)	Proficient (2)	Developing (1)	Not Met (0)	Score
2.1 Use foundational knowledge to design or implement an integrated, comprehensive, and balanced curriculum.	2.1b Candidate develops very strong lessons based on foundational knowledge to meet the needs of learners.	2.1b Candidate develops strong lessons based on foundational knowledge to meet the needs of learners.	2.1b Candidate develops lessons that show a developing understanding of foundational knowledge to meet the needs of learners.	2.1b Candidate develops very weak or no lessons based on foundational knowledge to meet the needs of learners.	
2.2c Support classroom teachers to implement instructional approaches for all learners.	Candidate provides very strong support to partner in developing, implementing, and analyzing lessons for all learners.	Candidate provides strong support to partner in developing, implementing, and analyzing lessons for all learners.	Candidate provides moderate support to partner in developing, implementing, and analyzing lessons for all learners.	Candidate provides limited or no support to partner in developing, implementing, and analyzing lessons for all learners.	
3.1b Demonstrate an understanding of established purposes for assessing the performance of all readers, including tools for screening, diagnosis, progress monitoring, and measuring outcomes.	Candidate demonstrates a very strong understanding through preparation of the assessment handout.	Candidate demonstrates a strong understanding through preparation of the assessment handout.	Candidate demonstrates a basic understanding through preparation of the assessment handout.	Candidate does not demonstrate understanding.	

3.1c Recognize the basic technical adequacy of assessments.	Candidate demonstrates a very strong understanding through preparation of the assessment handout.	Candidate demonstrates a very strong understanding through preparation of the assessment handout.	Candidate demonstrates a very strong understanding through preparation of the assessment handout.	Candidate demonstrates a very strong understanding through preparation of the assessment handout.	
3.2a Interpret appropriate assessments for students, especially those who struggle with reading and writing.	Candidate prepares a very detailed and thoughtful spreadsheet showing assessment results and students' needs.	Candidate prepares a detailed and thoughtful spreadsheet showing assessment results and students' needs.	Candidate prepares a somewhat detailed and thoughtful spreadsheet showing assessment results and students' needs.	Candidate does not prepare a spreadsheet or it is not detailed/ thoughtful.	
3.3a Use multiple data sources to analyze individual readers' performance and to plan instruction and intervention.	Lesson plans are very clearly connected to assessment data for individual readers.	Lesson plans are clearly connected to assessment data for individual readers.	Lesson plans are somewhat connected to assessment data for individual readers.	Lesson plans are not connected to assessment data for individual readers.	
3.3c Lead teachers in analyzing and using classroom, individual, grade-level, or school wide assessment data to make instructional decisions.	Final reflection clearly indicates ways in which the candidate led another teacher to use data in making instructional decisions.	Final reflection somewhat clearly indicates ways in which the candidate led another teacher to use data in making instructional decisions.	Final reflection provides only general statements about ways in which the candidate led another teacher to use data in making instructional decisions.	Final reflection does not indicate ways in which the candidate led another teacher to use data in making instructional decisions.	
5.4a & 5.4b Use evidence-based grouping practices to meet the needs of all students, especially those who struggle with reading and writing. Support teachers in doing	Lesson plans, lesson, and reflection show very strong evidence of grouping to meet the needs of all learners and supporting another teacher.	Lesson plans, lesson, and reflection show strong evidence of grouping to meet the needs of all learners and supporting another teacher.	Lesson plans, lesson, and reflection show moderate evidence of grouping to meet the needs of all learners and supporting another teacher.	Lesson plans, lesson, and reflection are not completed and/or do not show evidence of grouping to meet the needs of all learners and supporting another teacher.	

the same for all
students.

--

--

--

--

--