

**GEORGE MASON UNIVERSITY  
COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT  
ADVANCED STUDIES IN TEACHING AND LEARNING PROGRAM**

**EDUC 614.001  
DESIGNING AND ASSESSING TEACHING AND LEARNING**  
2 credits, Fall 2014  
CRN 73599

**Meeting Days/Times**  
Thursdays, 7:20-10:00 pm  
August 28 – November 16  
**Class Location:** Thompson L019



**PROFESSOR**

**Nancy Holincheck, Ph.D., N.B.C.T**

**Office Hours:** By appointment, online via Skype

**Skype ID:** nancy.holincheck

**Office Location:** Thompson 2507

**Office Phone:** 703-993-8136

**E-mail:** nholinch@gmu.edu (Emailed messages will be responded to within 24 hours during the week, 48 hours on weekends and holidays)

**COURSE DESCRIPTION**

Explores design and development of curricular, pedagogical, and assessment strategies responsive to needs and interests of students. Investigates factors that affect teaching and learning, and examines multiple ways of knowing that teachers bring to classrooms.

*Prerequisite: Admission to Graduate School and ASTL Program*

**LEARNER OUTCOMES**

*At the conclusion of EDUC 614, participants will be able to...*

- A. Engage the 21st century skills paradigm to plan and implement effective, culturally relevant, differentiated instruction for their students (*ASTL Outcomes 1, 2, 6*) (*IB Adv Cert Domains 1.1, 2.1, 2.3, 3.2*).
- B. Determine appropriate technologies for instruction and utilize those technologies to achieve instructional goals and facilitate student learning (*ASTL Outcomes 2, 6, 8*) (*IB Adv Cert Domains 1.2, 2.1, 3.2, 3.3*).
- C. Design, create, and implement appropriate assessments that ascertain what students know, understand, and are able to do related to the curricular standards of their professional setting (*ASTL Outcomes 1, 2, 3, 6*) (*IB Adv Cert Domains 3.2, 2.1*).
- D. Analyze assessment data to understand student learning and drive future instruction (*ASTL Outcomes 2, 3, 4*) (*IB Adv Cert Domains 2.2, 4.2, 4.4*).

**RELATIONSHIP TO PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS**

EDUC 614 is one of the five courses in the 12-credit, yearlong ASTL Core. EDUC 614 is aligned with the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards' (NBPTS) five core

propositions, which provide the guiding principles for *what teachers should know and be able to do*.

- NBPTS II – Teachers know the subject they teach and how to teach those subjects well.
- NBPTS III – Teachers are responsible for managing and monitoring student learning.
- NBPTS IV – Teachers think systematically about their practice and learn from experience.

EDUC 614 is also aligned with the following Core Principles of the ASTL program:

- Diversity: Teachers attend to the needs of culturally, linguistically, and cognitively diverse learners.
- Technology: Teachers use technology effectively to facilitate student learning and their own professional development.

The content of EDUC 614 additionally aligns with aspects of the International Baccalaureate (IB) Certificate in Teaching and Learning Research, with a particular focus on the following Domains of Knowledge:

- Domains 1.1, 1.2: Contemporary issues in IB education – Identification, clarification, and articulation of contemporary issues in IB context; critical analysis of contemporary IB issues.
- Domains 2.1, 2.2, 2.3: Research practice – Application of planning and scoping process in IB contexts; Collection, analysis, interpreting and reporting of evidence; Evaluation of research activity.
- Domains 3.2, 3.3: Linking theory to practice in an IB context – Critical reading and reflection; Interpretation and evaluation of source material.
- Domains 4.2, 4.4: Building capacity for practitioner inquiry – Modes of research and methods of data collection; Analyzing, interpreting, and reporting research findings.

The aim of EDUC 614 is to increase learners' ability to: 1) articulate, reflect on, and question how best to create and assess positive learning experiences appropriate for diverse student identities both collective and individual; and 2) effectively teach knowledge emanating from the various academic disciplines. This course provides opportunities for participants to challenge and refine their ability to create constructive learning environments and appropriate assessment strategies for K-12 students.

As a result of participating and completing the requirements for the course, participants will engage in these learning experiences:

- Analyze their current educational setting and practices by video recording classroom interactions and synthesizing current research on effective instruction;
- Participate in discussion forums that will reflect course learning, demonstrating the ability to analyze teaching experiences, and reflect upon those experiences in order to determine implications for future teaching;
- Create and implement an authentic assessment to better assess student learning and then analyze the results;
- Implement new course learning (new teaching models/strategies, technology) in their classrooms, reflect on the outcomes related to student learning, and share with peers.

The performance-based assessment for EDUC 614 is the following:

- Summative video and analysis of classroom practices, interactions, lesson plan, and objectives based on two different teaching lessons

### **REQUIRED TEXT**

Ritchhart, R., Church, M., & Morrison, K. (2011). *Making thinking visible: How to promote engagement, understanding, and independence for all learners*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Additional selected readings to be available via Blackboard and/or through Mason Libraries (<http://library.gmu.edu/>)

### *Recommended Texts*

Tomlinson, C., & McTighe, J. (2001). *Integrating differentiated instruction & understanding by design: Connecting content and kids*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD. ISBN: 978-1416602842

American Psychological Association (2001). *Publication manual of the American Psychological Association* (6<sup>th</sup> ed.). Washington, DC: Author.

### General Requirements

- A. Class attendance is both important and **required**. If, due to an emergency, you will not be participating in course activities on time or attending class, you must contact your instructor prior to their due time and class start. Learners with more than two absences may drop a letter grade or lose course credit.
- B. All assignments are due no later than **11:59 PM EST** of the date indicated in each week's assignments published in the **COURSE SCHEDULE AND TOPICS** section of this Syllabus. Due dates are also posted on our Bb course site.
  - a. **Grades for assignments date-stamped in Blackboard after the due date will be reduced by 10%, unless prior approval from instructor has been granted. No late submissions will be accepted after the course end date.**
  - b. Assignments earning less than a passing grade may be rewritten and resubmitted so that the assignment is satisfactorily completed. In fact, because learning is the goal, I may *require* you to redo an assignment that is far below expectations.
  - c. Students should expect that they could experience some technical difficulties at some point in the semester and should, therefore, budget their time accordingly. Late work will not be accepted based on individual technical issues.
- C. Please adhere to the assignment submission instructions listed in this Syllabus. *Only assignments submitted as indicated will be graded; incorrect submissions will result in a grade of zero for those assignments.*
  - a. All assignments submitted should have the filename format LASTNAME-ASSIGNMENT TITLE. Please do not upload written assignments in PDF format. Other editable formats are acceptable (i.e., .doc, .docx, .rtf, .ppt, .pptx, .xlsx, .xlxs). Supporting documents for assignments can be in PDF format.
- D. All written work should be carefully edited for standard grammar and punctuation, as well as clarity of thought. *All submitted work should be prepared through word*

processing and reflect APA style (6<sup>th</sup> edition), as well as double-spaced, with 1” margins, and 12-point font (Times New Roman, Calibri, or Arial). If you do not have an APA 6<sup>th</sup> edition manual, the OWL at Purdue is an excellent resource (<https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/resource/560/01/>)

### Course Assignments and Evaluation Criteria

<i>Course Outcome Alignment</i>		<b>Points</b>	<b>Grade %</b>
A, B, C, D	Participation and Professionalism	20	(20%)
A, B	Instruction and Technology Try-Its	15	(15%)
A, B	Video Recorded Lessons and Analyses	-	(50%)
	Formative Analysis	15	-
	Summative Analysis (PBA)	35	-
C, D	Assessment Design and Analysis Journal	10	(10%)
	ASTL Portfolio Reflection Point 3	5	(5%)
	<b>Total Points</b>	<b>100</b>	

#### *Participation and Professionalism*

Class participation and professionalism include multiple aspects of engagement in our course content, including: the in-class experiences, article discussions, participation in the Looking at Students’ Thinking (LAST) protocol, in & out of class work to critique peer videos, reflective journaling and peer evaluation and support in critical friends groups. In addition to being present in each class, this part of your grade also includes quality participation in class discussions and professionalism in all communication with your professor and your peers. See the Class Participation and Professionalism rubric in this syllabus.

#### *Instruction and Technology Try-Its*

Throughout the semester you will conduct a series of mini-inquiries where you will try different instructional strategies/models, including incorporating new technologies into instruction. Effective teaching requires that you learn, apply that professional learning, assess its effects, and use that evaluation to drive further instruction. Three times over the semester you are expected to integrate a new instructional model or strategy into your daily planning and teaching. One try-it should be implementing a thinking routine, one try-it should be utilizing a new technology, and the third try-it can be your choice. You will assess its effects on student learning and share your progress with your peers. See the Instruction and Technology Try-It Rubric in this syllabus. You will post your responses to the following:

- 1) What you did and why (tie this to our readings/class discussions and to your students),
- 2) What happened (how you assessed student learning and the findings),
- 3) Challenges or questions you have about what you did, and
- 4) Your next steps.

#### *Assessment Design and Analysis Journal Task*

You will create an authentic assessment for your students, implement the assessment, and then analyze the results. You will then reflect on student performance with an instructional lens (i.e., how did your instruction affect student learning in that lesson(s), what implications

for instruction can you draw from your analysis?). See the Assessment Design and Analysis assignment description and rubric in this syllabus.

### *Video Recorded Lessons and Analyses*

Each learner will video record two class sessions of teaching a content lesson, approximately 15-20 minutes in duration each—one at the beginning of the course (formative) and one at the end of the course (summative). The summative video analysis is the Performance Based Assessment (PBA) for this course. The purpose for video recording lessons is for the learner to understand what took place and try to explain why it occurred; this is an inquiry stance toward teaching – unlocking the knowledge of practice. To do this, learners will complete the following procedures:

- A. Create description of your classroom (lesson context)
  1. description of your classroom setting;
  2. student demographics and location of students' desks in the classroom (do not use names). The classroom description may be narrative or be a visual representation (i.e., classroom map). Student information to be included:
    - a. Race/ethnicity
    - b. Sex
    - c. Students with Disabilities (identify disabilities)
    - d. English Language Learners (identify proficiency level(s))
    - e. Students identified as Gifted
- B. Create a lesson plan (the lesson plan should be in a format appropriate for you-if you do not use a lesson plan format, use one of the sample formats provided in class). No matter what format you use, the following needs to be explicitly included and identified:
  - a. relevant county/state/IB standards-concepts
  - b. evidence of clear alignment of standards, objectives, assessments, and activities
  - c. identification of differentiated instruction based on student demographics and lesson content
  - d. identification of a variety of assessments used to monitor student learning
  - e. relevant inclusion of technology, if/as appropriate for lesson
  - f. materials (identified and included, or if inclusion is not possible, they are described)
- C. Teach lesson & video record
- D. Watch video & analyze using analysis chart (+, -, Δ) on pp. 20-21 of this syllabus.
- E. For **formative video analysis**, completed towards the beginning of the course: Complete A-D and then,
  - Write a 1-2 page narrative analysis that does the following:
    - i. Identify two things that you did well and would like to continue.
    - ii. Identify two things that you would like to focus on changing. Explain what evidence you might collect to indicate progress and why that evidence will be helpful in better understanding student learning. For example, "I noted that only five students out of 18 really participated in the discussion, and I dominated the questioning. Thinking about it, I was uncomfortable with the silences and so I felt the need to keep prompting

rather than recognizing that students' naturally need time to think in a conversation- all conversations have some necessary lulls. Additionally, I didn't prepare students very well for the conversational structure, as I thought the provocative question that I posed at the outset would be enough to carry their interaction for an extended period of time. I'll work on becoming more comfortable with thoughtful wait time and next time, I will implement a question starter and accountable talk technique so more students will be comfortable engaging in the next Socratic Seminar. I'll keep an informal tally of participation to monitor change."

- In i and ii, be sure to use **specific examples** from the video and from the video analysis chart. Don't stop at only the what, however, explain the **why**. What seems to be happening and why?
- See the rubric in this syllabus.

F. For **summative video analysis (PBA)**, completed towards the end of the course:

Complete A-D and then,

- a. Write a 3-5 page analysis of the recorded lesson *using at least three references from class readings* to support statements in analysis. Focus on teacher behavior/practice and student response (look for connections between the lesson/your teaching and student learning). Use the following prompts to guide your analysis and interpretation:
    - i. What were the strengths of the lesson plan and of my teaching? (connect to student learning with specific examples and evidence)
    - ii. What were the weaknesses of the lesson plan and of my teaching? (connect to student learning with specific examples and evidence)
    - iii. Overall, how did the lesson impact student learning? Rely on evidence of learning to support your analysis (i.e., explicitly discuss assessment data evident in the lesson).
      - o In addition to your overall analysis of class learning, select one student who did particularly well in the lesson. To what do you attribute this? What could you/should you do to maintain this success?
      - o As part of this analysis piece, select one student who struggled in this lesson. To what do you attribute this and what could you do differently to address these difficulties?
  - b. Write a 1-2 page self-reflective narrative, *using at least two references from Core course readings*, that addresses the following prompts:
    - i. What changes are evident from my first lesson (formative video analysis)? What impact did that have on student learning? Why?
    - ii. From my analysis, what did I learn about myself and myself as a teacher in regards to lesson plan design, my teaching practice, my students' learning (assessment), and my students' learning needs?
    - iii. What areas of inquiry were raised for me and what future changes might I consider in my planning, my instruction, and in my assessment practices as a result of my analysis?
- See rubric for evaluation in this syllabus.

The performance-based assessment (*Summative Video Analysis*) MUST be uploaded and submitted to TaskStream for evaluation when the assignment is due. Only PBAs posted to TaskStream will be graded. This means NO final grades will be posted until all materials are in TaskStream.

***PROMPT FOR ASTL PORTFOLIO REFLECTION POINT 3***  
***(to be completed at the end of EDUC 614)***

**Teacher as Designer of Curriculum & Assessment**

In this section, you will focus on how coursework, related readings, and products in EDUC 614 have led you to think more deeply about the design of curriculum and assessment. You should also consider how you are incorporating technology into your teaching practice and your Core experience. As you reflect on your own learning and your growth and change at this point in the Core, please address any of the applicable eight program learning outcomes and the ways in which the performance assessments included in this section provide evidence of your knowledge.

*Suggested course products which may be provided as evidence of knowledge:*

- Video analysis of teaching practice with analysis of teaching and impact on student learning (EDUC 614)
- Assessment Design & Analysis (EDUC 614)
- Other, as selected by individual (be specific)

*Guidelines and Suggested Format for each of the Reflection Points*

**Length:** Aim to limit your response to two well written pages

**Focus:** Each Reflection Point should include a short description, but will focus on *interpretation* and *analysis* of learning by synthesizing knowledge attained from that learning component of the Core coursework.

**Format:** Each reflection point *describes, interprets, and examines* why and how the course product(s) provide evidence of the ASTL principles addressed in that learning module. Here you should also discuss the impact of this course/learning module on your teaching practice *and* its impact on P-12 student learning.

**GRADING SCALE**

| 95-100 =A | 90-94 =A- | 86-89=B+ | 83-85=B | 80-82= B- | 70-79=C | Below 70=F |

**TASKSTREAM REQUIREMENTS**

Every student registered for EDUC 614, Advanced Studies in Teaching and Learning Program, is required to submit the following assessment, the *Summative Video Analysis*, to TaskStream. Evaluation of the performance-based assessment by the course instructor will also be completed in TaskStream. Failure to submit the assessment to TaskStream will result in the course instructor reporting the course grade as Incomplete (IN). Unless the IN grade is changed upon completion of the required TaskStream submission, the IN will convert to an F nine weeks into the following semester.



---

## **GMU POLICIES AND RESOURCES FOR STUDENTS**

- a. Students must adhere to the guidelines of the George Mason University Honor Code [See <http://oai.gmu.edu/the-mason-honor-code/>]
- b. Students must follow the university policy for Responsible Use of Computing [See <http://universitypolicy.gmu.edu/policies/responsible-use-of-computing/>]
- c. Students are responsible for the content of university communications sent to their George Mason University email account and are required to activate their account and check it regularly. All communication from the university, college, school, and program will be sent to students solely through their Mason email account.
- d. The George Mason University Counseling and Psychological Services (CAPS) staff consists of professional counseling and clinical psychologists, social workers, and counselors who offer a wide range of services (e.g., individual and group counseling, workshops and outreach programs) to enhance students' personal experiences and academic performance [See <http://caps.gmu.edu/>]
- e. Students with disabilities who seek accommodations in a course must be registered with the George Mason University Office of Disability Services (ODS) and inform their instructor, in writing, at the beginning of the semester [See <http://ods.gmu.edu/>]
- f. Students must follow the university policy stating that all sound emitting devices shall be turned off during the class unless otherwise authorized by the instructor.
- g. The George Mason University Writing Center staff provides a variety of resources and services (e.g., tutoring, workshops, writing guides, handbooks) intended to support students as they work to construct and share knowledge through writing [See <http://writingcenter.gmu.edu/>]

## **COLLEGE OF EDUCATION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT**

### **Professional Dispositions**

Students are expected to exhibit professional behaviors and dispositions at all times.

### **Core Values Commitment**

The College of Education and Human Development is committed to *Social justice, Innovation, Research-based practice, Ethical leadership, and Collaboration*. Students are expected to adhere to these principles. [See <http://cehd.gmu.edu/values>]

### **Graduate School of Education**

For additional information on the College of Education and Human Development, Graduate School of Education, please visit our website [See <http://gse.gmu.edu/>]



**COURSE SCHEDULE EDUC 614: Fall 2014**

This schedule may be changed at the discretion of the professor or as needs of the students or the ASTL Program dictate.

	<b>Weekly Overview</b>	<b>Readings &amp; Assignments Due</b>
<b>Week 1</b> Aug. 28	<p><b><u>Introduction to Teaching and Learning in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century</u></b></p> <p><i>This week we will continue to build our ASTL community and develop our shared foundation for what it means to teach and learn for the 21<sup>st</sup> century. We'll study the theoretical framework of curriculum and look at how teaching and learning are affected by 21<sup>st</sup> century skills and issues.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b> <i>(emailed to students or provided in class)</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wismath: Shifting the teacher-learner paradigm</li> <li>• Ed Week article: How do you define 21st-century learning?</li> <li>• Altman: Seven things teachers are sick of hearing from school reformers</li> </ul>
<b>Week 2</b> <b>Sept. 4</b> <b>No F2F class</b>	<p><i>We will not meet this week. In lieu of class you should read the first 2 chapters of our textbook and post to the discussion board by Thursday, September 4, 11:59 pm. Please post a reply to a classmate by Sunday, September 7.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ritchhart, Church, &amp; Morrison (textbook): Part 1 (Chapters 1 and 2)</li> </ul> <p><b><u>Tasks in-lieu of class:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Post to Blackboard Discussion board on reading by Thursday, 9/4, return to blackboard &amp; post reply to peer by Sunday, 9/7</li> </ul>
<b>Week 3</b> Sept. 11	<p><b><u>Instructional Design: Assessment as the Starting Place</u></b></p> <p><i>What is assessment, and what is its place in my teaching? How do I design instruction with assessment in mind? This week, we'll focus on assessment as the starting place for all instruction, and perhaps thinking differently about its purposes and possibilities.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ritchhart, Church, &amp; Morrison (textbook): Chapters 3 and 4</li> <li>• Hockett &amp; Doubet: What pre-assessments can do</li> <li>• Stiggins: Assessment through the students' eyes</li> </ul> <p><b><u>What Assignments are Due?</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Record a lesson for analysis--to be uploaded by Week 4 for in-class peer analysis</li> </ul>
<b>Week 4</b> Sept. 18	<p><b><u>Instructional Design: Differentiating Instruction</u></b></p> <p><i>In our rapidly changing classrooms, how are we best meeting students' needs? How does our instruction reflect our students? Teaching in modern classrooms means adapting in response to students' cultures, identities, strengths, and needs. This week, we explore instruction that supports what we know about how students learn.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ritchhart, Church, &amp; Morrison (textbook): Chapter 5</li> <li>• Tomlinson: Mapping a route toward differentiated instruction</li> <li>• Parsons, Dodman, &amp; Burrowbridge: Broadening the view of differentiated instruction</li> </ul> <p><b><u>What Assignments are Due?</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Upload your video for in-class analysis before class on 9/18</li> </ul>

<p><b>Week 5</b> Sept. 25</p>	<p><b><u>Instructional Design: Differentiating Instruction 2</u></b> <i>Who is in your classroom? What are you already doing to support them and what more can you do to guide their learning? Where are you strong in differentiating and where do you need to push yourself? This week, you'll dive deeper into an area of differentiation and self-select resources to read and review based on your understanding of your teaching, self, and students.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ritchhart, Church, &amp; Morrison (textbook): Chapter 6</li> <li>Self-selected readings</li> </ul> <p><b><u>What Assignments are Due?</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Submit Try-it #1 Journal Entry before class on 9/25</b></li> <li><b>Formative Video Analysis – submit to BB by Sept. 28, 11:59pm</b></li> </ul>
<p><b>Week 6</b> Oct. 2</p>	<p><b><u>Reflecting on Students' Thinking</u></b> <i>How do you know that you are teaching for understanding? What are you doing in the classroom to support students as they develop their thinking skills and aim to understand? This week you will engage in Ritchhart's "Looking at Students' Thinking" (LAST) protocol with your critical friends group.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ritchhart, Church, &amp; Morrison (textbook): Chapters 7 and 8</li> </ul> <p><b><u>In class:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Watch LAST protocol group on text DVD</li> <li>We will engage in LAST protocol. One person in a small group will share their students' work related to their Try-It #1. Other group members will be critical friends.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Week 7</b> Oct. 9</p>	<p><b><u>Instructional Design: Technology</u></b> <i>How are teacher's beliefs and practices developed and transformed by technology? What are the pros and cons of new technology for instruction? The use of technology in the classroom has impacted both student and teacher learning and understanding. This week, we'll investigate that impact and explore what it means for your own teaching.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b> Use the 4-A's protocol as you read this week:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Richardson: Students first, not stuff</li> <li>Lemke &amp; Coughlin: The Change Agents</li> <li>Dunn &amp; Rakes</li> </ul> <p><b>OR</b> Ertmer &amp; Ottenbreit-Leftwich</p> <p><b><u>What Assignments are Due?</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Submit Try-it #2 Journal Entry before class on 10/9</b></li> </ul>
<p><b>Week 8</b> Oct. 16</p>	<p><b><u>Assessment: Creating, Implementing, and Utilizing Assessment</u></b> <i>This week we'll focus on putting your assessment literacy into action. We'll utilize what we learned during Week 3 and focus on authentic assessment as assessment for(as) learning. Between now and the end of Week 8, you'll implement an authentic assessment with your class and analyze your students' learning.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>None</li> </ul> <p><b><u>What Assignments are Due?</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Record your video for summative analysis (to be uploaded Week 9, for in-class analysis).</li> </ul>

<p><b>Week 9</b> Oct. 23</p>	<p><b><u>Focusing on Analysis</u></b> <i>This week, we'll focus on analysis: analysis of your recorded lesson and analysis of student work for your Assessment Design &amp; Analysis Journal entry.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None</li> </ul> <p><b><u>What Assignments are Due?</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Upload your video for in-class analysis before class on 10/23</li> <li>• <b>Assessment Design and Analysis Journal- submit to BB by Oct. 26, 11:59pm</b></li> </ul>
<p><b>Week 10</b> Oct. 30</p>	<p><b><u>Choose Your Own Adventure: Topic TBD</u></b> <i>This week's topic will be determined based on student interest during the semester.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Check BB for readings</li> </ul> <p><b><u>What Assignments are Due?</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Summative Video Analysis- submit to Taskstream by Nov. 2, 11:59pm</b></li> </ul>
<p><b>Week 11</b> Nov. 6</p>	<p><b><u>What Have We Learned?</u></b> <b><u>Where Do We Go from Here?</u></b> <i>This week we are wrapping up the course. In addition to evaluating the course &amp; discussing how to move forward with teaching for understanding, you will also participate in a Try-it "Show &amp; Tell" with the class.</i></p>	<p><b><u>Readings:</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• See BB for readings</li> </ul>
<p><b>Week 12</b> <b>Nov. 13</b> <b>No F2F Class meeting</b></p>	<p><i>We will not meet in the final week of the EDUC 614 semester. During this final week you should complete your last try-it journal entry and complete ASTL Portfolio Reflection Point 3.</i></p>	<p><b><u>What Assignments are Due?</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Portfolio Reflection Point 3- submit by Nov. 16, 11:59pm</b> (upload to Blackboard Organization site -ASTL Core Cohorts 2014-2015 Org)</li> <li>• <b>Try-it #3 due by Sunday 11/16</b></li> </ul>

***PARTICIPATION & PROFESSIONALISM RUBRIC***

<i>20 points total</i>	<b>Evolving</b>	<b>Competent</b>	<b>Accomplished</b>
<b>Participation &amp; Professionalism</b>	The student may be frequently late or miss class more than twice. May fail to follow outlined procedures in case of absence; Student may be chronically unprepared for class;; Student may participate peripherally in group and class discussions; The student is somewhat engaged in all classes and sometimes or rarely pushes their own and their peers' thinking; Student may demonstrate unprofessionalism in communications with professor and peers	The student is engaged in most classes; is on time; is prepared; follows outlined procedures in case of absence; the student makes active contributions to the learning group and class; The student may usually, but not always, critically consider content and/or pose questions; demonstrates professionalism in all communications with professor and peers	The student is engaged in all classes- is on time to every class; is prepared for every class; follows outlined procedures in case of absence; The student actively participates in small and/or whole group class meetings by meaningfully contributing to each class session; Student critically considers class content and poses questions to push their own thinking and that of their peers; demonstrates professionalism in all communications with professor and peers.
<b>CFG Engagement</b>	Rarely participates in Critical Friends Group work. Feedback is not meaningful, detailed, and constructive. Discounts critical friends' perspectives and questions	Mostly participates in critical friend(s) group work; meets almost all CFG deadlines; provides meaningful, detailed, and constructive feedback OR consistently participates in critical friends groups on time but feedback is not meaningful, detailed, and constructive; there is no evidence that critical friend perspectives have been considered	Consistent participation in critical friend(s) work; meets all CFG deadlines; Quality work/questions provided to critical friends for discussion; Thoughtfully considers all perspectives raised by critical friends; Meaningful, detailed, and constructive feedback provided to critical friends

**INSTRUCTION AND TECHNOLOGY TRY-IT RUBRIC**  
*Completed for each try-it (5 points each; 15 points total)*

	<b>Evolving</b>	<b>Competent</b>	<b>Accomplished</b>
<b>Implementation</b> (what you did and why)	Try-It may not have been implemented; vague description of what was done and why; Try-It may be an exact strategy previously implemented <i>0-.5 points</i>	Try-It was implemented; Description of what was done and why that may include vague connection to the class readings/content and/or to learner's students; Try-It may be very similar to what has already been implemented <i>1 point</i>	Try-It was implemented; Strong description of what was done and why that includes explicit connection to the class readings/content and to learner's students; If this is the 2 <sup>nd</sup> or 3 <sup>rd</sup> try-it, it is distinct from what was previously implemented <i>2 points</i>
<b>Analysis and Findings</b> (what happened as a result, student learning outcomes)	There may be no mention of student learning  <i>0-.5 points</i>	Effects on student learning are limitedly reported; the outcomes may only state "it went well/didn't go well" without describing student performance  <i>1 point</i>	Effects on student learning are reported; How learning was assessed is identified (e.g., goes beyond just "it went well/didn't go well" and describes student performance)  <i>2 points</i>
<b>Reflection</b> (challenges/questions, next steps)	Next steps are not identified nor explained; it is unclear what learning or potential practice change resulted from the Try-It  <i>0 points</i>	Challenges and/or questions are identified, if any; Next steps are identified but may not be explained; The next steps are not clearly connected to the implementation and analysis  <i>.5 points</i>	Challenges and/or questions are identified, if any; Next steps are identified and explained; The next steps clearly connect to the implementation and analysis  <i>1 point</i>

\*Note: Try-it #1 should be implementing a thinking routine, Try-It #2 should be utilizing a new technology, and Try-It #3 can be your choice.

## ***ASSESSMENT DESIGN AND ANALYSIS JOURNAL TASK***

### **Description**

For this task, we will be looking closely at one piece of a cycle of ongoing assessment- the post-assessment. The assessment may be of your own design or adapted from another source. You will use your content knowledge and previous formative and summative assessment data to plan a lesson with an authentic assessment element. The lesson assessment needs to be authentic- meaning that it needs to be a worthwhile, real-world type of task. You will score the assessment with a rubric. You will then reflect on student performance using an instructional lens.

What you will do and submit for the Assessment Design & Analysis journal task:

- a. Design an authentic task to either formatively or summatively assess your students' learning with upcoming content (check the due date and make sure it's content you're teaching before then). What you design should not require a huge investment of time, but should be relevant and timely for your students and content.
2. Create a simple rubric to assess the task. See these resources for crafting rubrics:
  - o [http://tccl.rit.albany.edu/knilt/index.php/Unit\\_3:Steps\\_to\\_Creating\\_Authentic\\_Assessment](http://tccl.rit.albany.edu/knilt/index.php/Unit_3:Steps_to_Creating_Authentic_Assessment)
  - o [http://edweb.sdsu.edu/triton/july/rubrics/Rubric\\_Guidelines.html](http://edweb.sdsu.edu/triton/july/rubrics/Rubric_Guidelines.html)
  - o <http://edweb.sdsu.edu/webquest/rubrics/rubrics.html>
  - o <http://jfmueLLer.faculty.noctrl.edu/toolbox/rubrics.htm>
3. Implement the assessment and evaluate it with the rubric.
4. Analyze the results and determine implications.
  - a. Create a chart that displays student performance in a quick and easy to see format where the assignment is broken down by skills (rubric sections).
  - b. Using your chart, write a journal entry that captures your thoughts related to the questions below (NOTE: This is a journal task, so be analytical and critically reflective, but don't be a formal writer or novelist, please)
    - What percentage of students scored at each level? Which subgroups did better, and which did not? What adjustments did you or can you make for each group? How well did these strategies work? Which strategies might work best given the results?
    - What was the kind of thinking students demonstrated? What does this mean? Did students receive instruction and learning opportunities at this level of thinking prior to the assessment?
    - What might have caused these results? Look at time spent learning, resources used, strategies for both learning and instruction, and the goals of the unit or lesson.
    - What does this mean for you instructionally? (your next steps with these students with this content, and for your teaching in general?)

### Assessment Design & Analysis Journal Task Scoring Rubric

	<b>Evolving</b>	<b>Competent</b>	<b>Accomplished</b>
<p><b>Assessment task and rubric</b></p> <p>(Description of assessment, rationale, connection to what is known about content and students and the instruction)</p>	<p>Assessment or rubric may be missing; Assessment may not be described; Rationale not provided for using the assessment, or is very limited; Rubric may be a checklist.</p> <p><i>0-2 points</i></p>	<p>Assessment is somewhat described; Assessment is an authentic assessment; Rationale for using the assessment is included but may not be well connected to content or students; Assessment is evaluated with a rubric but rubric may be limited in what it evaluates; Both the assessment and rubric are included.</p> <p><i>3- 4 points</i></p>	<p>Assessment is clearly described; Assessment is clearly an authentic assessment; The rationale for using this assessment for this content and student population is clearly and thoughtfully described; Assessment is evaluated with a rubric; Both the assessment and the rubric are included.</p> <p><i>5 points</i></p>
<p><b>Analysis of data and implications</b></p> <p>(Description of findings, impact on instruction, &amp; differentiation of instruction)</p>	<p>Assessment chart may be missing; Analysis is weak; Student thinking is not discussed; There are no implications for future instruction or they are very limited.</p> <p><i>0-2 points</i></p>	<p>The assessment chart includes analysis on indicators of learning, but they may be superficial or only performance rather than learning based; Student performance is identified and described but subgroups of student performance may not be identified; There may be limited exploration of student thinking; Potential reasons for student learning and performance are discussed but discussion may be limited; Implications for instruction are described, but may be cursory.</p> <p><i>3- 4 points</i></p>	<p>The assessment chart clearly indicates analysis on multiple indicators of learning for each student (the assessment is clearly broken down into smaller elements of learning); Student performance is thoroughly identified and described, including performance for varying subgroups of students; The impact of the instruction and authentic assessment on student learning is thoroughly discussed; The types of thinking demonstrated by the assessment is clearly explored; Potential reasons for student performance are identified and explored; The influence of these findings on future instruction, including differentiation and assessment, is clearly and thoroughly described.</p> <p><i>5 points</i></p>



**FORMATIVE VIDEO ANALYSIS RUBRIC**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Evolving</b>	<b>Competent</b>	<b>Accomplished</b>
<p><b>Description of Lesson Context</b></p> <p><i>NBPTS Learning Outcome 3</i> <i>ASTL Learning Outcome 3</i></p>	<p>The lesson context may include extraneous information and the classroom may be described in such limited detail that it is unknown for whom the lesson was designed.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Lesson context is missing.</p> <p><i>0-.5 point</i></p>	<p>The lesson context includes only one of the aspects to be included in description of classroom.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The lesson context includes both aspects of the classroom description but they are vague.</p> <p><i>1 point</i></p>	<p>The lesson context thoroughly describes 1) the classroom setting and 2) student demographics</p> <p><i>2 points</i></p>
<p><b>Lesson Plan</b></p> <p><i>NBPTS Learning Outcome 2</i> <i>ASTL Learning Outcome 2, 6</i></p>	<p>Lesson provides very limited evidence of planning: The lesson contains <u>less than half</u> of the necessary planning elements. The elements included are very vague.</p> <p><i>0-.5points</i></p>	<p>Lesson provides some evidence of planning: The lesson contains <u>all</u> necessary planning elements. The lesson is described, although some elements may be limited or vague.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>Lesson provides some evidence of planning: The lesson contains <u>most of the</u> necessary planning elements. The elements included are well described.</p> <p><i>1 point</i></p>	<p>Lesson provides evidence of planning: The lesson is described in detail; AND the lesson contains all necessary planning elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) relevant county/state/IB standards-concepts,</li> <li>b) evidence of clear alignment of standards, objectives, assessments, and activities,</li> <li>c) identification of differentiated instruction based on student demographics and lesson content</li> <li>d) identification of a variety of assessments used to monitor student learning</li> <li>e) relevant inclusion of technology, if/as appropriate for lesson</li> <li>f) materials (identified and included, or if inclusion is not possible, they are described)</li> </ul> <p><i>2 points</i></p>

<p><b>Analysis Chart</b></p> <p><i>NBPTS Learning Outcome 2</i> <i>ASTL Learning Outcomes 2,6</i></p>	<p>Analysis chart demonstrates superficial analysis of video. Only strengths <i>or</i> weaknesses of the lesson that impacted student learning may be included (or both may be missing). Potential changes to instruction are not included or may not be related to video evidence. <i>0-2 points</i></p>	<p>Analysis chart identifies only a few strengths and weaknesses of the lesson that impacted student learning. Potential changes to instruction are included but limited; Potential changes are related to evidence on video.  <i>3-4 points</i></p>	<p>Analysis chart identifies multiple strengths and weaknesses of the lesson that impacted student learning. Potential changes to instruction are thoughtful and clearly related to evidence on video.  <i>5 points</i></p>
<p><b>Narrative Analysis</b></p> <p><i>NBPTS Learning Outcome 4</i> <i>ASTL Learning Outcome 4</i></p>	<p>The narrative analysis demonstrates no reflection: Vague statements may be made that merely restate events in the video; There is no <i>why</i> explored, only <i>what</i>. There are no strategies included to monitor changes.  <i>0-2 points</i></p>	<p>The narrative analysis demonstrates cursory analysis of and reflection on the lesson: It includes two things done well and two things for change; OR It includes only one thing done well and one thing for change; OR It includes two things in either but not both categories; AND There is some, but minimal, focus on explaining the <i>why</i> rather than just the <i>what</i>; Strategies are identified that will help in monitoring the impact of changes on student learning. <i>3-4 points</i></p>	<p>The narrative analysis demonstrates thoughtful analysis of and reflection on the lesson: It includes two things done well and two things for change; There is a clear focus on explaining <i>what and the why</i>; Strategies are identified that will help in monitoring the impact of changes on student learning.  <i>5 points</i></p>
<p><b>Overall Writing</b></p> <p><i>NBPTS Learning Outcome 4</i> <i>ASTL Learning Outcome 4</i></p>	<p>Contains many grammatical errors or error patterns. Paper may be unreadable.  <i>0 points</i></p>	<p>Grammatically and stylistically well written but contains some errors or error patterns.  <i>.5 points</i></p>	<p>Grammatically and stylistically well written; the narrative logically flows from one idea to the next; there may one or two grammar errors or error patterns.  <i>1 points</i></p>

**SUMMATIVE VIDEO ANALYSIS RUBRIC (EDUC 614 PERFORMANCE-BASED ASSESSMENT – TASKSTREAM UPLOAD)**

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Evolving</b>	<b>Competent</b>	<b>Accomplished</b>
<p><b>Description of Lesson Context</b></p> <p><i>NBPTS Learning Outcome 3</i> <i>ASTL Learning Outcome 3</i></p>	<p>The lesson context may include extraneous information and the classroom may described in such limited detail that it is unknown for whom the lesson was designed. OR Lesson context is missing. <i>0-.5 point</i></p>	<p>The lesson context includes only one of the aspects to be included in description of classroom. OR The lesson context includes both aspects of the classroom description but they are vague.  <i>1 point</i></p>	<p>The lesson context thoroughly describes 1) the classroom setting and 2) student demographics  <i>2 points</i></p>
<p><b>Lesson Plan</b></p> <p><i>NBPTS Learning Outcome 2</i> <i>ASTL Learning Outcome 2, 6</i></p>	<p>Lesson provides very limited evidence of planning: The lesson contains <u>less than half</u> of the necessary planning elements. The elements included are very vague. It is not clear how the lesson is designed for the classroom context described.  <i>0-.5 points</i></p>	<p>Lesson provides some evidence of planning: The lesson contains <u>all</u> necessary planning elements. The lesson is described, although some elements may be limited or vague. It is mostly clear how the lesson is designed for the classroom context described. OR Lesson provides some evidence of planning: The lesson contains <u>most of the</u> necessary planning elements. The elements included are well described. It is clear how the lesson is designed for the classroom context described.  <i>1 point</i></p>	<p>Lesson provides strong evidence of planning: The lesson is described in detail; It is clear how the lesson is designed for the classroom context described; AND the lesson contains all necessary planning elements: a) relevant county/state/IB standards, b) evidence of clear alignment of standards, objectives, assessments, and activities, c) identification of differentiated instruction based on student demographics and lesson content d) identification of a variety of assessments used to monitor student learning e) relevant inclusion of technology, if/as appropriate for lesson f) materials (identified and included, or if inclusion is not possible, they are described) <i>2 points</i></p>
<p><b>Analysis and Interpretation of Classroom Lesson</b> (including chart)</p>	<p>The analysis is simplistic with little or no interpretation (the section merely restates what was taught); no readings were used to support statements. Analysis chart may not be</p>	<p>The analysis discusses only one strength or one weakness of the lesson, or identifies multiple strengths and weakness but discussion of them is superficial. There is limited interpretation of the significance of the lesson’s impact on student learning- student learning may be</p>	<p>The analysis thoroughly identifies and discusses strengths and weaknesses of the lesson with specific examples and video evidence; There is a strong level of analysis and interpretation regarding the lesson’s impact on student learning- Student learning is</p>

<p><i>NBPTS Learning Outcome 2</i> <i>ASTL Learning Outcomes 2,6</i></p>	<p>included or is the only thing included.</p> <p><i>0-4 points</i></p>	<p>weakly analyzed at the class and student level; Course readings are used to support analysis but less than 3 are used and/or the readings may be used superficially. Analysis chart is included with the narrative.</p> <p><i>5-9 points</i></p>	<p>thoroughly analyzed both at the class and individual level; Connections between teaching and learning are well explored; AND There is robust use of <u>course</u> readings- at least 3 course readings are well utilized to support and/or frame the analysis and interpretation. Analysis chart is included with the narrative.</p> <p><i>10 points</i></p>
<p><b>Self-Reflective Narrative</b></p> <p><i>NBPTS Learning Outcome 4</i> <i>ASTL Learning Outcome 4</i></p>	<p>The self-reflection demonstrates no reflection: Change from formative lesson is not identified nor evident; There is a clear lack of understanding of one's practices and the impact of those practices on student learning; Future changes are not included. No readings from the Core are utilized.</p> <p><i>0-5 points</i></p>	<p>The self-reflection demonstrates cursory reflection: Instructional changes from the formative video may not be discussed, or discussion is limited; It includes some, but minor, identification and explanation of what was learned about lesson design, teaching practices, student learning (assessment), and student learning needs; Future changes in instruction, assessment, and/or lesson design are included but may not be well explained. Less than two readings from the Core are used.</p> <p><i>6-14 points</i></p>	<p>The self-reflection demonstrates rich, thoughtful reflection on the lesson: Instructional changes from the formative video are discussed; It includes strong identification and explanation of what was learned about lesson design, teaching practices, student learning (assessment), and student learning needs; Areas of inquiry are raised and future changes in instruction, assessment, and/or lesson design are identified and well explained. At least two readings from the Core are used to support reflection.</p> <p><i>15 points</i></p>
<p><b>References</b></p> <p><i>NBPTS Learning Outcome 4</i> <i>ASTL Learning Outcome 4</i></p>	<p>There is no evidence of in-text citations or a reference list OR there are multiple APA 6<sup>th</sup> edition errors.</p> <p><i>0-1 point</i></p>	<p>In-text citations and a reference page are included; references are cited in APA 6<sup>th</sup> edition style, but may contain some minor errors.</p> <p><i>2-3 points</i></p>	<p>In-text citations and a reference page are included; ALL references are properly cited in APA 6<sup>th</sup> edition style (<b>NO</b> APA errors).</p> <p><i>4 points</i></p>
<p><b>Overall Writing</b></p>	<p>Contains many grammatical errors or error patterns. Paper may be unreadable.</p> <p><i>0-.5 points</i></p>	<p>Grammatically and stylistically well written but contains a few errors or error patterns.</p> <p><i>1 point</i></p>	<p>Grammatically and stylistically well written; the narrative logically flows from one idea to the next; there are <b>NO</b> grammar errors or error patterns.</p> <p><i>2 points</i></p>

### Video Analysis Chart

Directions:

- Take notes in this chart while you view your video. You will submit your chart with your assignment.
- You are encouraged to fill in *both* positives and limitations for all rows as you critically consider each of the elements of teaching in your lesson. At a *minimum*, one positive or one limitation needs to be present for *each* row. Notes in the Potential Changes-Improvements to Strengthen Student Learning column need to be included for *each* row.
- Indicate specific evidence from the video to support your notes. You will need to describe your specific evidence in your narrative(s).
- You can print the chart and handwrite your notes (although the space will be very limited as is, so you should make the rows bigger before printing) or type them. If you handwrite, it needs to be legible and you can scan or take a photo of your chart to submit it with your assignment.

<i>Elements of Teaching</i>	<i>Positives</i>	<i>Limitations</i>	<i>Potential Changes-Improvements to Strengthen Student Learning</i>
<p><b>Objectives/Standards</b> Is it clear what the objectives are? Do the students know and understand what the objectives are? Do the objectives push student thinking to different levels? Are the objectives clearly related/drawn from standards-concepts?</p>			
<p><b>Interactions</b> What types of interactions are evident between teacher-students? Between students? What kinds of questioning (teacher questioning, student questioning) is present? What kinds of responses? What kind of feedback do you give?</p>			
<p><b>Evidence of advanced planning and concept knowledge</b> Is it clear that there is an awareness of concepts that may hinder student understanding and that steps are taken to address this potential challenges(s)?</p>			
<p><b>Learning Activities</b> Are learning activities clearly aligned with the objectives and standards in the lesson? Do the activities support the topic and provide practice for student understanding of the content? Are there multiple opportunities for students to interact with the content (whole</p>			

class/individual/group activities, varied instructional formats, authentic activities, inquiry, etc.) in ways that align with the objectives?			
<b>Differentiation of Instruction</b> How does the lesson design provide varied opportunities for learners to engage with the content in meaningful ways? How does the lesson account for student background experiences, learning profiles, readiness (in content, skill, language), and/or interests?			
<b>Technology</b> Is appropriate technology used effectively? Who was using the technology (students and or the teacher)? For what means and to what ends?			
<b>Assessment</b> Is learning assessed in various ways? How is learning formatively assessed? How do you use formative assessment during the lesson to guide instruction?			

Important Note:

- When self-assessing, try to avoid the [Lake Wobegon Effect](#). This effect is based on Garrison Keillor's books/radio show where everyone in the fictional city of Lake Wobegon was above average. Try to be critically reflective. What do you see/not see? What questions does that raise and what does that mean for potential ways to keep strengthening your practice?

ASTL PORTFOLIO REFLECTION POINT 3 RUBRIC

<p><b>ASTL Portfolio Reflection Point 3</b></p>	<p>May not completely or thoroughly respond to the prompt; May not have been completed on time or at all; may not have been submitted to Core Organization site on Blackboard <i>0 pts.</i></p>		<p>Completely and thoroughly responds to the reflection prompt; Completed on time; submitted to Core Organization site on Blackboard <i>5 pts.</i></p>
---	---	--	--